App. Serial No.: 09/776,730 Atty Docket No. HAR59 029 Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a)

# **EXHIBIT B**

Copies of the April 28, 2004 communication, along with a copy of the facsimile confirmation showing successful transmission to the USPTO, the communication including copies of the previously filed Response, Petition for Extension of Time, Certificate of Facsimile transmission.

## MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

## APR-28-2004 01:17 PM WED

FAX NUMBER

NAME

DIMOCK STRATTON

NAME/NUMBER

\*223\*06630151\*17037469430

PAGE

. 1:

START TIME

APR-28-2004 01:14PM WED

ELAPSED TIME

03' 06"

MODE

STD ECM

RESULTS

[ O.K ]



## DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors . Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

April 28, 2004

To: Name & Firm	PHONE#	FAX#
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

Our File:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 11

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

### NOTES:

### Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Documents Enclosed: Confirmation of successful facsimile transmission, facsimile cover sheet, applicant's response dated January 9, 2004 (signature page in duplicate) with the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, Petition for two month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



## DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

April 28, 2004

To: Name & Firm	PHONE#	FAX#
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 11

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

### NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

**Documents Enclosed:** Confirmation of successful facsimile transmission, facsimile cover sheet, applicant's response dated January 9, 2004 (signature page in duplicate) with the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, Petition for two month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.

Intellectual Property Law

MARK B. EISEN Ext. 242 meisen@dimock.com

Certified by the Law Society as a Specialist in Intellectual Property (Patent) Law

SENT BY FAX (703) 746-9430

April 28, 2004

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202
U.S.A.

Attention:

Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe

Dear Sir:

Re:

United States Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Filing Date: February 6, 2001

Art Unit:

2697

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND

DECODING AUDIO AND OTHER DATA

Applicant:

Innovision Limited

Our File:

663-151/MBE

This is further to the telephone conversation between the undersigned and Mr. Patrick Edward on April 27, 2004, in which I was advised that no response had been received in reply to the Office Action dated August 11, 2003.

The applicant did timely file a response to the office action mailed August 11, 2003. The response was filed by facsimile on January 9, 2004 with a Petition for a two month extension of time for responding.

As proof thereof, I attach a copy of the applicant's response dated January 9, 2004 with the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission and the Petition for a two month extension; a copy of the facsimile cover page sent with the response; and a copy of the facsimile confirmation sheet confirming successful transmission of the Response, Petition and cover sheet on that date.

Further action is therefore respectfully requested.

Yours very truly,
DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

MARK B. EISEN

(Regn. No. 33,088)

MBE:lf

Encls.

## MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

## JAN-09-2004 12:47 PM FRI

FAX NUMBER

NAME

NAME/NUMBER

\*315\*06630151\*17037469430

PAGE

START TIME

JAN-09-2004 12:45PM FRI

ELAPSED TIME

01'40"

MODE

STD ECM

RESULTS

[ O.K ]



## DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

January 9, 2004

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE#	FAX#
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBB

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 7

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



## DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE: January 9, 2004

To: Name & Firm	PHONE #	FAX# .
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:	Mark B. Eisen			
OUR FILE:	663-151/MBE			
TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 7				
Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested				
If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.				

### NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

**Documents Enclosed:** Response to Office Action of August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.

20 Queen Street West, Suite 3202, Box 102, Toronto, Ontario Canada M5H 3R3
Tel: (416) 971-7202 Fax (416) 971-6638
E-mail: firm@dimock.com • www.dimock.com

## IN THE UNAL ED STATES PATENT AND TRAL\_MARK OFFICE

09/776,730

Serial No.:

Filin	g Date:	February 6, 2001			
App]	licant:	Innovision Limited			
Title	:	METHOD AND APPARA? AUDIO AND OTHER DA?		OR PACKING AND DECODING	
Exan	niner:	Treffaney R. Lowe			
Atto	ney's Ref:	663-151/MBE			
U.S. 2011 Cryst	missioner of Pate Patent and Trade South Clark Pla- al Plaza 2, Lobb gton, VA 22202	emark Office ce y, Room 1B03			
Dear	Sir:				
		PETITION FOR EXT	ENSIO	N OF TIME	
	5(a) for: ( ) or	s that the time for taking action ne month wo months	( )	is case be extended pursuant to 37 CFR three months four months	
The fe	e set in 37 CFR	§1.17 for the extension of tir	me is \$	420.	
( )	Applicant is a s	small entity entitled to pay re	educed	fees in this application.	
( )	Our check in th	e amount of \$ is enclosed			
(X)					
(X)		o our Deposit Account No. :		iciency or credit any overpayment in  A duplicate of this paper is enclosed	
Also e	nclosed is a:		•		
	(X) Response ( ) Other: [pr	( ) Notice of Apperovide details]	al	( ) Appeal Brief	
				by Mark B. Eisen Reg. No. 33088  Date: January 9, 2004	

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

09/776,730

Serial No.:

Filing	Date:		February 6, 20	01			
Appli	cant:		Innovision Lin	nited			
				METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING AUDIO AND OTHER DATA			
Exam	iner:		Treffaney R. I	owe			
Attorr	ey's Ref	:	663-151/MBE				
U.S. P 2011 S Crysta	South Cla il Plaza 2 ston, VA	l Tra irk P , Lol	demark Office Place bby, Room 1B03			COA	
Dear S	Sir:		,				
						ON OF TIME	
The ar §1.135	(a) for:	( )	ests that the time f one month two months	or taking actio	on in th	three months four months	
The fe	e set in 3	7 CF	R §1.17 for the e	xtension of tir	ne is \$	6420.	
	Applica	nt is	a small entity ent	itled to pay re	duced	fees in this application.	
( )	Our che	ck ir	the amount of \$	is enclosed.	•		
(X)	Fee of \$ paper is	420. encl	is to be charged to losed.	to our Deposit	Acco	unt No. 500663. A duplicate of this	
(X)	the above	e fe	ssioner is authoriz es to our Deposit or this purpose.	ed to charge a Account No. 5	my de: 50066	ficiency or credit any overpayment in  3. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed	
Also e	nclosed is	s a:					
		spor her:	nse () N [provide details]	otice of Appe	al	( ) Appeal Brief	
						by  Mark B. Eisen Reg. No. 33088  Date: January 9, 2004	

### CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

January 9, 2004

Date

Mark B. Eisen

Registration No. 33088

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/776,730

Filing Date:

February 6, 2001

Applicant:

Innovision Limited

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS

FOR PACKING AND

**DECODING AUDIO AND** 

OTHER DATA

AMENDMEN

Examiner:

Treffaney R. Lowe

In response to the Official Action

Dated: August 11, 2003

Attorney's Ref.: 663-151/MBE

Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Customer Window, Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202 U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

### REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2 and 10 as being obvious based on Kopf in view of Fielder, and further in view of Warren. In respect of claim 1, the Examiner's position is that Fielder discloses steps a. and b., Warren teaches steps c. and e., and Kopf teaches step d. The applicant respectfully submits that there is no motivation for this combination, but it is not necessary to

rely on this to traverse the objection because Fielder does not teach steps a. and b. of the claimed method. In particular, Fielder does not teach providing one word in each compression packet with a **component** of configuration data, so that overall a compression block contains sufficient configuration information to identify the manner of packing data into the compression block.

The passage in Fielder cited by the Examiner, column 8, lines 41-43, teaches control data being generated for a control segment 270 that includes a synchronization pattern which allows decoders to synchronize to sequential frames. This has nothing to do with the manner of packing data into the frames, but rather provides synchronization information only. Equally important, the control data is not indicated as being merely "a component" of configuration data, which is read together with other components to identify the manner of packing the data.

In fact, Fielder specifically teaches away from this feature, stating that his process may be repeated for each block of the audio signal coded into a corresponding sequential frame of the data channel (col. 8, lines 49-52). There would be reason to repeat the process for each block of the audio signal, and in fact it would not be possible to repeat the process for each block of the audio signal, if Fielder generated *different* components of configuration data in each block. Fielder's system is redundant, and the repetition of the same configuration data in different blocks takes up space that could be used for other data.

According to the present invention, compressed audio and other data is packed into any available bits for an audio subframe in a way that the packing method used can be detected and decoded at the receiving station. Each word within a compression block (e.g. consisting of 48 compression packets) is provided with only a component of the configuration information. The packing methodology is decoded at the receiving end after the various components within the compression block have been read. In this manner, the redundancy inherent in systems like Fielder's is avoided, allowing more information to be packed into the remaining available bits; accommodating 16, 20 and 24 bit resolutions; and allowing for packing in a variety of formats, including different formats for different channels.

January 9, 2004

The applicant thus submits that claim 1 and 11 as presently written distinguish the invention over the cited prior art. Favourable reconsideration and allowance of this application are therefore respectfully requested.

A Petition for an Extension of Time requesting an extension of two months for filing the subject response is enclosed. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the fees for same to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A signed copy of this page is enclosed if required for this purpose.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada, on January 9, 2004.

INNOVISION LIMITED

Mark B. Eiser

Registration No. 33088

MBE:jr Encl.

Duplicate of signature page Petition for Extension of Time (in duplicate) The applicant thus submits that claim 1 and 11 as presently written distinguish the invention over the cited prior art. Favourable reconsideration and allowance of this application are therefore respectfully requested.

A Petition for an Extension of Time requesting an extension of two months for filing the subject response is enclosed. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the fees for same to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A signed copy of this page is enclosed if required for this purpose.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada, on January 9, 2004.

INNOVISION LIMITED

Mark B. Eiser

Registration No. 33088

MBE:jr Encl.

Duplicate of signature page Petition for Extension of Time (in duplicate)

